Technology and Society Perspectives (TACIT) Vol. 4, No. 1, March-June 2026, pages 310–330

Human Capital Development in the Digital Economy: Implications for Productivity, Innovation, and Economic Competitiveness

Hizbul Khootimah Az-zaakiyyah[1*]

[1]*Universitas Mandiri, Jawa Barat, Indonesia*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received: 11 January 2026
Revised: 27 February 2026
Accepted: 21 May 2026

DOI:
10.61100/tacit.v4i1.405

Keywords:
Human Capital Development, Digital Economy, Artificial Intelligence, Labor Productivity, Economic Competitiveness

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

ABSTRACT

The digital economy is transforming how human capital contributes to productivity, innovation, and business competitiveness. This study aims to examine the economic implications of human capital development by integrating traditional workforce capabilities with digital competencies and artificial intelligence (AI). Using a qualitative integrative literature review approach, the study draws on Human Capital Theory, the Resource-Based View, and Dynamic Capabilities Theory to develop a conceptual framework. The synthesis identifies five interconnected dimensions of human capital: education, training, work experience, professional skills, and entrepreneurship. Their economic contributions depend on technological adaptability, AI literacy, organizational learning, and effective human–AI collaboration. The proposed framework highlights how complementary human and technological capabilities may support productive efficiency, innovation, and firm-level competitiveness. However, technological investment alone does not guarantee economic benefits without corresponding workforce development and organizational adaptation. This study provides a conceptual foundation for future empirical investigations into digital transformation and economic performance.

ABSTRAK

Perkembangan ekonomi digital telah mengubah peran modal manusia dalam meningkatkan produktivitas, inovasi, dan daya saing perusahaan. Penelitian ini bertujuan menganalisis implikasi ekonomi dari pengembangan modal manusia melalui integrasi kemampuan tenaga kerja dengan kompetensi digital dan kecerdasan buatan (AI). Penelitian menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif melalui tinjauan literatur integratif dengan menggabungkan Human Capital Theory, Resource-Based View, dan Dynamic Capabilities Theory sebagai landasan analisis. Sintesis konseptual mengidentifikasi lima dimensi utama modal manusia, yaitu pendidikan, pelatihan, pengalaman kerja, keterampilan profesional, dan kewirausahaan. Kontribusi ekonominya dipengaruhi oleh kemampuan adaptasi teknologi, literasi AI, pembelajaran organisasi, serta kolaborasi manusia dengan AI. Kerangka konseptual yang diusulkan menunjukkan bagaimana keterpaduan kemampuan manusia dan teknologi berpotensi meningkatkan efisiensi produksi, inovasi, dan daya saing perusahaan. Namun, investasi teknologi tidak secara otomatis menghasilkan manfaat ekonomi tanpa pengembangan kompetensi tenaga kerja dan kemampuan adaptasi organisasi. Penelitian ini memberikan landasan konseptual bagi pengujian empiris mengenai hubungan pengembangan modal manusia, transformasi digital, dan kinerja ekonomi perusahaan.

1. INTRODUCTION

The expansion of the digital economy has fundamentally changed how businesses organize production, utilize resources, and create economic value. Digital platforms, automation, data analytics, and artificial intelligence (AI) are increasingly integrated into business activities, creating new opportunities for productivity improvement while transforming the skills required in the labor market. However, the economic benefits of digital transformation are not determined solely by technological investment. Businesses also depend on the

** Corresponding author, email address: azzaakiyyahhizbulk@gmail.com*

knowledge, experience, and capabilities of individuals who operate, manage, and develop these technologies. The World Economic Forum (2025) reports that approximately 86% of surveyed employers expect AI and information-processing technologies to transform their businesses by 2030, while an estimated 39% of existing worker skills are expected to change during the 2025–2030 period. These projections indicate that technological advancement is closely associated with changes in workforce requirements, raising an important economic question about how human capital development can support productivity, innovation, and business competitiveness in increasingly digital markets.

From an economic perspective, human capital represents a productive asset that can generate returns through improved labor efficiency, knowledge utilization, and innovation. Schultz (1961) and Becker (1964) established the foundations of Human Capital Theory by explaining that investments in education, training, and individual capabilities can enhance productivity and contribute to economic development. Unlike physical capital, which consists of machinery, equipment, and infrastructure, human capital is embedded in individuals and develops through learning, practical experience, and the accumulation of knowledge. Its economic value becomes evident when these capabilities contribute to more efficient production, improved decision-making, and the creation of goods and services. Crook et al. (2011), through a meta-analysis, found a positive relationship between human capital and firm performance, reinforcing the argument that employee capabilities represent an important source of organizational value. Nevertheless, the magnitude of these benefits may differ depending on how businesses organize their resources and translate employee knowledge into productive activities.

The emergence of digital technologies has made the relationship between human capital and productivity increasingly complex. In conventional production systems, improvements in labor productivity are often associated with better education, occupational experience, and investment in physical capital. In digital production environments, productivity also depends on employees' ability to interact with information systems, interpret data, and integrate technological applications into business processes. Autor et al. (2003) demonstrate that technological change can alter the demand for different occupational tasks, particularly by replacing certain routine activities while complementing nonroutine analytical work. Although contemporary AI technologies differ substantially from earlier computerization, this perspective remains relevant for understanding how technological advancement changes the economic value of employee competencies. Digital transformation may therefore increase productivity when technology complements human capabilities, but it may also generate adjustment costs when workers lack the skills required to adapt to new production systems.

These developments are particularly relevant to the five established dimensions of human capital development: education, training, work experience, professional skills, and entrepreneurship. Education contributes to foundational knowledge and analytical capabilities, while training strengthens employees' ability to perform specific occupational tasks. Work experience provides practical understanding and professional judgment, whereas skills enable individuals to apply knowledge in productive activities. Entrepreneurship further supports opportunity recognition, resource mobilization, and the creation of economic value. However, digitalization has changed how these dimensions contribute to business outcomes. Educational qualifications may become less relevant without continuous learning, occupational experience may require technological adaptation, and entrepreneurial activities increasingly depend on digital platforms and data-informed decision-making. Consequently, the economic contribution of human capital should be evaluated not only through the accumulation of knowledge and experience but also through the capacity to renew and utilize these resources under changing technological conditions.

Artificial intelligence introduces an additional dimension to this discussion because its economic implications extend beyond conventional automation. AI-supported systems can assist businesses in analyzing information, forecasting demand, managing customer relationships, and improving selected operational activities. These capabilities may increase labor productivity by reducing the time required to complete particular tasks and supporting more informed decisions. However, AI can also substitute for certain human activities, creating uncertainty regarding occupational restructuring and the future demand for specific competencies. Raisch & Krakowski (2021) describe this relationship through the automation–augmentation paradox, emphasizing that AI may simultaneously replace some human tasks and complement human expertise. From an economic standpoint, the central issue is therefore not whether technology replaces human capital, but under what conditions technological investment and human capabilities generate complemen-

tary benefits. This distinction is important because productivity improvements at the task level do not necessarily translate into equivalent gains in firm-level performance, particularly when organizations face implementation costs, coordination difficulties, or limitations in employee capabilities.

Innovation represents another important mechanism through which human capital can contribute to economic competitiveness. Businesses increasingly rely on employee knowledge and technological capabilities to develop new products, improve production processes, identify market opportunities, and respond to changing consumer preferences. Digital technologies may reduce certain information and coordination costs, enabling businesses to experiment with new approaches to value creation. Nambisan (2017) explains that digital technologies reshape entrepreneurial activities by changing how opportunities are identified and exploited. Similarly, Vial (2019) emphasizes that digital transformation involves organizational changes that extend beyond the adoption of technological tools. These perspectives suggest that innovation emerges not simply from access to digital infrastructure but from the interaction between technological resources, employee competencies, and organizational processes. Businesses with similar technological access may therefore demonstrate different innovation outcomes because of differences in their human capital and ability to utilize available knowledge.

The economic implications of human capital development also extend to business competitiveness. In increasingly digital markets, firms compete not only through prices and physical resources but also through productivity, innovation capabilities, service quality, and the efficient utilization of information. The Resource-Based View provides a relevant explanation for these differences by suggesting that valuable, rare, and difficult-to-imitate resources can contribute to sustained competitive advantage (Barney, 1991). However, access to digital technologies alone may not create lasting differentiation when similar applications are available to competing firms. Competitive advantage may instead depend on the ability to combine technological investment with distinctive human expertise, organizational knowledge, and innovative business practices. Dynamic Capabilities Theory further emphasizes that businesses must continuously identify opportunities, mobilize resources, and reconfigure their capabilities to remain competitive under changing market conditions (Teece, 2007). Together, these perspectives indicate that the economic value of human capital depends not only on the capabilities possessed by employees but also on how businesses develop and utilize these capabilities in response to technological change.

Despite the growing literature on human capital, digital transformation, and organizational performance, important conceptual questions remain regarding their economic relationships. Previous research has established the relevance of human capital to firm performance (Crook et al., 2011), examined how technological change affects occupational tasks (Autor et al., 2003), and explained the organizational implications of digital transformation (Vial, 2019; Verhoef et al., 2021). Other studies have explored digital entrepreneurship (Nambisan, 2017) and the relationship between AI and managerial activities (Raisch & Krakowski, 2021). However, these research streams approach the relationship between human capabilities and technological change from different analytical perspectives. This creates a need for a more integrated economic interpretation of how education, training, work experience, professional skills, and entrepreneurship interact with digital competencies and AI-related capabilities to influence productivity, innovation, and firm-level competitiveness. Rather than assuming that digitalization automatically improves economic performance, such an interpretation must also consider the organizational conditions and adjustment costs that influence the realization of technological benefits.

Addressing this issue is particularly important because productivity, innovation, and competitiveness represent related but conceptually distinct economic outcomes. Productivity concerns the efficiency with which inputs are converted into outputs, whereas innovation involves the introduction or implementation of new or improved products, processes, and business practices. Economic competitiveness, within the firm-level scope of this study, refers to the ability of businesses to maintain or strengthen their market position through productive efficiency, innovation, and value creation. Improvements in one dimension do not necessarily guarantee equivalent improvements in the others. For example, automation may reduce the labor required for certain activities without generating meaningful product innovation, while innovation investments may initially increase costs before producing measurable productivity gains. Therefore, understanding the economic contribution of human capital requires examining the different mechanisms through which technological and human capabilities influence these outcomes.

Against this background, this study aims to examine the economic implications of human capital

development in the digital economy by integrating five established dimensions of human capital with contemporary perspectives on digital competencies, artificial intelligence, and technological adaptation. Using an integrative literature review approach, the study draws on Human Capital Theory, the Resource-Based View, and Dynamic Capabilities Theory to develop a conceptual understanding of how human capital contributes to productivity, innovation, and firm-level economic competitiveness. Its intended contribution is to connect the economic foundations of human capital investment with the organizational mechanisms through which digital technologies influence productive activities and value creation. By distinguishing technological adoption from the effective utilization of human capabilities, the study seeks to provide a more comprehensive perspective on why digital investment may generate different economic outcomes across businesses and to identify directions for future empirical research.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESES

2.1. Human Capital Theory and Economic Value Creation

Human capital is a fundamental concept in economics that explains how knowledge, skills, education, and experience contribute to productive activities and economic value creation. Schultz (1961) introduced the importance of investment in human capabilities, while Becker (1964) further developed Human Capital Theory by explaining how education and training can generate economic returns through increased productivity and earnings. Unlike physical capital, which consists of machinery, infrastructure, and equipment, human capital is embedded in individuals and develops through learning, professional experience, and the accumulation of productive knowledge. From this perspective, expenditure on education and workforce development represents an investment whose potential benefits extend beyond individual income to organizational productivity and broader economic activity.

The economic contribution of human capital is closely related to the ability of individuals to transform knowledge into productive outcomes. Employees with relevant competencies may perform tasks more efficiently, reduce operational errors, improve decision-making, and contribute to the development of new products or services. However, the accumulation of human capital does not automatically guarantee superior economic performance. Its productive value depends on how effectively knowledge and skills are utilized within specific organizational and technological environments. Crook et al. (2011), through a meta-analysis, identified a positive relationship between human capital and firm performance, supporting the argument that employee capabilities represent an important organizational resource. Nevertheless, differences in organizational strategies, management practices, and resource allocation may influence the economic returns generated by human capital investment.

In the digital economy, the relationship between human capital and economic value creation becomes increasingly dependent on technological complementarities. Digital platforms, automation, data analytics, and artificial intelligence can improve the efficiency of certain productive activities, but their effectiveness depends on the availability of appropriate human capabilities. Employees must understand how to operate technological systems, interpret information, and apply digital tools to organizational problems. Consequently, human capital should be understood not merely as accumulated knowledge but as a productive resource whose value may change as technologies and market requirements evolve. This interpretation provides a foundation for examining how traditional human capital investments interact with digital capabilities to influence productivity, innovation, and competitiveness.

2.2. Digital Economy, Technological Change, and Labor Productivity

The digital economy encompasses economic activities in which digital technologies, data, connectivity, and technology-enabled business processes play important roles in production, exchange, and value creation. Digitalization can influence economic performance by improving information accessibility, reducing certain coordination costs, expanding market opportunities, and enabling new business models. However, the economic implications of digital transformation extend beyond technological adoption because businesses must reorganize productive activities and develop capabilities that support the effective utilization of digital resources. Vial (2019) emphasizes that digital transformation involves organizational responses to technological disruptions, while Verhoef et al. (2021) explain that it can reshape business models, organizational structures, and value creation mechanisms.

Labor productivity represents a central economic outcome of technological transformation. At the firm level, labor productivity generally concerns the amount of output or value added generated relative to

labor input, which may be measured through employment or hours worked. Digital technologies can potentially improve productivity by accelerating information processing, automating repetitive tasks, and supporting more efficient resource allocation. However, technological investment does not necessarily produce immediate productivity gains. Implementation costs, organizational restructuring, employee training, and changes in working procedures may initially reduce efficiency before expected benefits emerge. Therefore, productivity improvements depend on the interaction between technological resources, workforce capabilities, and organizational adaptation.

The relationship between technological change and labor productivity can also be understood through task-based approaches to employment. Autor et al. (2003) demonstrate that computerization changes the demand for occupational tasks by substituting for certain routine activities while complementing nonroutine analytical work. Although contemporary AI technologies can perform a broader range of tasks than earlier computer systems, the underlying economic question remains relevant: technological advancement changes the relative value of different human capabilities. Workers whose skills complement new technologies may experience productivity improvements, while those whose competencies become less relevant may face adjustment challenges. This perspective indicates that the economic benefits of digitalization depend partly on how human capital development responds to technological change.

2.3. Education, Digital Learning, and Economic Productivity

Education is one of the principal mechanisms through which individuals acquire knowledge, develop analytical abilities, and improve their productive capabilities. Human Capital Theory considers education an investment because it can enhance future earnings and labor productivity (Becker, 1964). Within business organizations, education may contribute to economic performance by strengthening employees' ability to process information, understand complex problems, and participate in productive decision-making. However, the relationship between educational attainment and productivity is influenced by the relevance of acquired knowledge to actual occupational requirements.

Digital transformation has increased the importance of continuous learning because technological developments can change the competencies required for productive employment. Formal education provides foundational knowledge, but it may not fully prepare employees for technological applications introduced after their initial educational experience. The World Economic Forum (2025) estimates that approximately 39% of existing worker skills are expected to change during the 2025–2030 period. This projection highlights the importance of maintaining and renewing human capital throughout employees' working lives. Consequently, education in the digital economy should be understood as a continuing process rather than an investment completed before entering employment.

Digital learning platforms and technology-supported educational applications may expand access to knowledge and reduce certain barriers associated with conventional learning arrangements. Employees can participate in online courses, access specialized learning resources, and develop competencies without necessarily leaving their workplaces. Nevertheless, the economic benefits of digital education depend on instructional quality, learning motivation, technological accessibility, and opportunities for practical application. Access to educational technologies does not automatically generate productivity improvements when acquired knowledge is disconnected from organizational requirements. Therefore, the contribution of education to economic productivity increasingly depends on the integration of foundational knowledge, continuous digital learning, and effective workplace application.

2.4. Training, Digital Upskilling, and Returns on Human Capital Investment

Employee training represents a targeted investment in human capital designed to improve competencies required for productive activities. Training differs from formal education because it generally emphasizes specific occupational knowledge and practical abilities. Salas et al. (2012) explain that effective organizational training depends on appropriate instructional methods, employee engagement, and workplace conditions that support the application of acquired skills. From an economic perspective, training can potentially improve labor productivity, reduce operational errors, and strengthen the efficiency of resource utilization. However, the returns on training investment depend on whether newly developed competencies generate measurable improvements in work performance.

The digital economy has increased the importance of upskilling and reskilling as organizations in-

troduce new technologies and redesign productive activities. Upskilling involves developing additional competencies within existing occupational roles, while reskilling refers to acquiring capabilities required for substantially different tasks. These processes are particularly relevant when automation and AI-supported applications change the skills required in business operations. Employees may need to learn how to interpret digital information, operate automated systems, and collaborate with intelligent technologies. Without appropriate workforce development, businesses may encounter difficulties utilizing technological investments effectively.

The economic evaluation of digital training requires attention to both costs and benefits. Training programs involve direct expenditures, employee time, and potential interruptions to productive activities. Their benefits may include improved efficiency, reduced errors, faster task completion, and greater technological adaptability. However, these benefits may not emerge when employees lack opportunities to apply newly acquired competencies or when organizational processes remain incompatible with new technologies. Consequently, digital upskilling should be considered an investment whose returns depend on training relevance, organizational support, and effective knowledge transfer. This perspective suggests that businesses should evaluate training outcomes through productivity and performance improvements rather than participation rates alone.

2.5. Work Experience, Technological Adaptability, and Productive Efficiency

Work experience contributes to human capital through the accumulation of practical knowledge, professional judgment, and familiarity with organizational processes. Employees develop experience by performing occupational activities, solving recurring problems, and learning from previous decisions. These capabilities may improve productive efficiency because experienced workers can identify operational difficulties, coordinate activities, and make decisions based on contextual understanding. Nevertheless, the economic value of work experience depends on the continued relevance of accumulated knowledge to changing production requirements.

Digital transformation introduces new challenges to the relationship between experience and productivity. Employees with extensive professional experience may possess valuable organizational knowledge but encounter difficulties when established procedures are replaced by digital systems. Conversely, employees with stronger technological familiarity may operate digital applications efficiently while lacking the professional judgment required for complex organizational decisions. This suggests that occupational experience and digital competencies are complementary rather than interchangeable forms of human capital. Their combined economic value depends on how effectively organizations integrate practical knowledge with technological capabilities.

The growing adoption of AI further emphasizes the importance of combining professional experience with technological adaptability. AI-supported applications can assist with information processing, analysis, and selected operational decisions. However, employees remain responsible for evaluating the relevance, accuracy, and consequences of technological outputs. Raisch and Krakowski (2021) describe the automation–augmentation paradox, emphasizing that AI can simultaneously substitute for certain human activities and complement human expertise. From an economic perspective, effective human–AI collaboration may improve productive efficiency when technological systems support rather than undermine valuable human judgment. Consequently, the contribution of work experience to productivity increasingly depends on employees' ability to adapt established knowledge to emerging technological environments.

2.6. Professional Skills, Digital Competencies, and Innovation Capacity

Professional skills represent the practical capabilities that enable individuals to perform occupational activities, solve problems, and contribute to organizational objectives. These capabilities include technical knowledge, analytical reasoning, communication, collaboration, and managerial judgment. In the digital economy, professional competence increasingly involves the ability to use digital technologies, evaluate information, and adapt working practices to technological change. Vuorikari et al. (2022) identify information and data literacy, digital communication, content creation, safety, and problem-solving as central dimensions of digital competence. These capabilities illustrate that digital skills extend beyond operating technological devices and include the ability to utilize digital resources critically and responsibly.

Artificial intelligence introduces additional requirements for professional competence. Long and Magerko (2020) explain that AI literacy involves understanding, evaluating, and interacting effectively with

AI technologies. Employees may increasingly use AI-supported applications for information analysis, content development, customer communication, and decision support. However, the ability to generate outputs using AI does not necessarily indicate the capacity to evaluate their reliability or economic relevance. Effective AI utilization requires analytical reasoning, contextual understanding, and professional judgment. Therefore, AI literacy should be considered a complementary component of professional competence rather than a replacement for established occupational skills.

The relationship between professional skills and innovation is particularly important because innovation requires more than access to technological resources. Employees must recognize opportunities, develop ideas, experiment with alternative approaches, and translate knowledge into improved products or processes. Digital competencies may support these activities by expanding information accessibility and enabling more efficient collaboration. However, innovation outcomes also depend on organizational learning, managerial support, and the availability of resources necessary to implement new ideas. From an economic perspective, professional skills contribute to innovation when they enable organizations to convert technological opportunities into productive improvements and commercially valuable outcomes.

2.7. Entrepreneurship, Digital Innovation, and Economic Competitiveness

Entrepreneurship contributes to economic activity through opportunity recognition, resource mobilization, innovation, and the development of new business activities. Lumpkin and Dess (1996) identify innovativeness, proactiveness, and risk-taking among the important characteristics associated with entrepreneurial orientation. These capabilities can influence business competitiveness by encouraging organizations to respond to changing market conditions and identify opportunities for value creation. However, entrepreneurial outcomes depend on the ability to combine individual capabilities with available organizational and technological resources.

Digital technologies have transformed entrepreneurial processes by expanding access to markets, information, and business networks. Digital platforms allow businesses to interact with customers, coordinate transactions, and develop business models that may differ from conventional market arrangements. Nambisan (2017) argues that digital technologies reshape entrepreneurial activities by changing the boundaries and dynamics of opportunity identification and exploitation. These developments suggest that entrepreneurial human capital increasingly includes technological adaptability, digital opportunity recognition, and data-informed decision-making.

AI-supported applications may further contribute to entrepreneurial innovation by assisting with customer analysis, market forecasting, marketing activities, and business planning. Nevertheless, technological accessibility does not necessarily create sustainable competitive advantage. Businesses operating in similar markets may use comparable digital platforms and AI tools, reducing the extent to which technological adoption alone generates differentiation. Competitive advantage may instead depend on how entrepreneurs combine technological resources with market knowledge, creativity, strategic judgment, and organizational capabilities. Therefore, the economic contribution of entrepreneurship in the digital economy should be understood through its capacity to transform digital opportunities into innovation, productive efficiency, and market competitiveness.

2.8. Artificial Intelligence, Human Capital Complementarity, and Economic Performance

Artificial intelligence has become an important element of contemporary technological change because it can support tasks involving information processing, prediction, classification, content generation, and decision assistance. These capabilities may influence economic performance by reducing the time required for certain activities, improving information accessibility, and supporting operational decisions. However, AI adoption can also introduce adjustment costs, technological risks, and changes in the demand for occupational competencies. Consequently, its economic implications cannot be understood solely through the assumption that automation increases productivity.

The relationship between AI and human capital can be examined through the concepts of technological substitution and complementarity. Substitution occurs when technological systems perform activities previously undertaken by workers, potentially reducing the demand for certain tasks. Complementarity occurs when technology improves the productivity of human capabilities by supporting information processing, analysis, or decision-making. Raisch and Krakowski (2021) explain that automation and augmenta-

tion may coexist within organizations, creating complex relationships between human expertise and intelligent systems. These relationships suggest that AI can influence productivity differently depending on task characteristics, workforce capabilities, and organizational implementation strategies.

Human capital development is therefore important for determining how organizations respond to AI-related opportunities and challenges. Employees require competencies that enable them to operate technological systems, evaluate outputs, and adapt professional practices. At the same time, organizations must develop management processes that support effective task allocation and human oversight. From an economic perspective, the productive value of AI depends partly on whether technological investment complements existing human capabilities or creates additional costs associated with skill mismatches, inadequate implementation, and organizational adjustment. This interpretation positions human capital development as an important condition for realizing the potential economic benefits of AI adoption.

2.9. Human Capital, Innovation, and Firm-Level Economic Competitiveness

Productivity, innovation, and economic competitiveness represent interconnected but conceptually distinct dimensions of business performance. Productivity concerns the efficiency with which businesses transform labor and other inputs into outputs, while innovation involves the introduction or implementation of new or improved products, processes, and business practices. Firm-level economic competitiveness refers to the ability of businesses to maintain or strengthen their market position through efficiency, differentiation, innovation, and value creation. Although improvements in productivity and innovation may support competitiveness, their relationships are not necessarily immediate or uniform across organizational contexts.

The Resource-Based View provides a theoretical explanation for how human capital may contribute to competitive advantage. Barney (1991) argues that valuable, rare, difficult-to-imitate, and nonsubstitutable resources can support sustained competitive advantage. Human capital may satisfy these conditions when employees possess distinctive knowledge, specialized competencies, and organizationally embedded experience. However, the increasing accessibility of digital technologies raises questions about whether technological investment alone can sustain differentiation. When competing firms can access similar technological applications, competitive advantage may depend more strongly on how these technologies are combined with human expertise and organizational processes.

Dynamic Capabilities Theory extends this interpretation by emphasizing the importance of adapting organizational resources to changing environments. Teece (2007) identifies sensing, seizing, and reconfiguring as important processes through which organizations respond to opportunities and challenges. Human capital development may contribute to these processes by strengthening employees' abilities to recognize technological opportunities, implement innovations, and adapt organizational routines. Consequently, the relationship between human capital and economic competitiveness can be understood through the interaction of productive capabilities, innovation processes, and organizational adaptation. This perspective suggests that businesses are more likely to realize the economic value of digital technologies when technological investments are supported by continuous human capital development and effective organizational learning.

2.10. Theoretical Integration and Research Gap

The preceding literature demonstrates that human capital development, digital transformation, and economic performance are closely related, although their relationships have been examined through different theoretical perspectives. Human Capital Theory explains the economic value of investments in education, training, and productive capabilities (Schultz, 1961; Becker, 1964). The Resource-Based View emphasizes how distinctive employee competencies may contribute to competitive advantage (Barney, 1991), while Dynamic Capabilities Theory explains how organizations renew and reconfigure resources in response to technological change (Teece, 2007). Together, these perspectives provide complementary explanations of how human capital may influence productivity, innovation, and competitiveness in the digital economy.

Existing research provides important foundations for this integration. Crook et al. (2011) demonstrate the relevance of human capital to firm performance, while Autor et al. (2003) explain how technological change influences occupational tasks and the demand for different competencies. Vial (2019) and Verhoef et al. (2021) examine organizational transformation associated with digital technologies, whereas Nambisan (2017) highlights changes in entrepreneurial activities. Raisch and Krakowski (2021) further explain the complex relationship between AI automation and human augmentation. Although these studies address important aspects of technological and organizational change, their different analytical emphases leave room

for a more integrated economic interpretation of how established human capital dimensions interact with digital competencies and AI-related capabilities.

The conceptual gap addressed in this study concerns the mechanisms through which human capital development contributes to three distinct economic outcomes: productivity, innovation, and firm-level competitiveness. These outcomes are frequently discussed in relation to technological transformation, but they should not be treated as interchangeable indicators of organizational success. Productivity improvements may occur without substantial innovation, while innovation activities may involve initial costs before generating measurable economic benefits. Similarly, competitive advantage may depend on market conditions and organizational capabilities beyond improvements in productive efficiency. Therefore, understanding the economic contribution of human capital requires a framework that distinguishes these outcomes while explaining their potential interrelationships.

Based on this synthesis, the present study adopts an integrated conceptual perspective in which education, training, work experience, professional skills, and entrepreneurship provide foundational human capabilities, while digital competencies and AI-related capabilities influence how these resources are utilized in contemporary production and innovation processes. Organizational learning, technological adaptation, and knowledge integration represent potential mechanisms through which these capabilities contribute to economic outcomes. The framework does not assume that digitalization automatically improves productivity or competitiveness. Instead, it recognizes that economic benefits depend on organizational readiness, technological suitability, workforce development, and the effective coordination of human and technological resources. This theoretical integration provides the foundation for examining the economic implications of human capital development in the digital economy.

3. RESEARCH METHOD

3.1. Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research approach using an integrative literature review to examine the economic implications of human capital development in the digital economy, particularly its relationships with productivity, innovation, and firm-level competitiveness. An integrative literature review is appropriate because it enables the examination and synthesis of theoretical and empirical studies from different research traditions to develop a broader understanding of a particular phenomenon. Torraco (2005) emphasizes that integrative reviews contribute to knowledge development by critically analyzing existing literature and generating new conceptual perspectives, while Snyder (2019) highlights their relevance for synthesizing fragmented research and identifying opportunities for theoretical advancement. The selection of this approach reflects the multidimensional relationship between human capital investment, technological transformation, and economic performance. Previous studies have examined education, training, work experience, professional skills, and entrepreneurship as important contributors to productive capabilities. However, the expansion of digital technologies and artificial intelligence (AI) has changed how these capabilities are developed, utilized, and translated into economic value. Accordingly, this study integrates Human Capital Theory, the Resource-Based View, and Dynamic Capabilities Theory to examine how traditional human capital dimensions interact with digital competencies and technological capabilities. Rather than testing statistical relationships, the study seeks to develop a theoretically grounded interpretation of the mechanisms connecting human capital development with productivity, innovation, and competitiveness.

3.2. Literature Search Strategy

The literature search is designed to identify scholarly publications addressing human capital development, digital transformation, AI, labor productivity, innovation, and economic competitiveness. The proposed search sources include Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, and Google Scholar. Scopus and Web of Science are prioritized for identifying peer-reviewed studies across economics, business, management, and technology-related disciplines. ScienceDirect and SpringerLink are used as supplementary publisher platforms, while Google Scholar supports the identification of additional relevant publications and foundational theoretical contributions. Overlapping records will be identified and removed to avoid duplication. The search prioritizes publications from 2015 to 2026 to capture developments in the digital economy and contemporary technological transformation. Earlier publications are included selectively when they provide foundational theoretical explanations, particularly those associated with Human Capital Theory, technological change, organizational competitiveness, and Dynamic Capabilities Theory. The search strategy

combines relevant keywords using Boolean operators to identify studies examining the relationships between human capabilities, technological resources, and economic outcomes.

Table 1. Proposed Literature Search Strategy

No	Research focus	Search terms
1	Human capital and productivity	"human capital" AND "labor productivity"
2	Human capital investment	"education" OR "training" AND "economic productivity"
3	Digital economy	"human capital development" AND "digital economy"
4	Digital competencies	"digital skills" AND "firm productivity"
5	Artificial intelligence	"artificial intelligence" AND "human capital"
6	AI and productivity	"AI adoption" AND "labor productivity"
7	Innovation	"human capital" AND "digital innovation"
8	Entrepreneurship	"digital entrepreneurship" AND "business competitiveness"
9	Economic competitiveness	"human capital" AND "firm competitiveness"

The search process is intended to identify studies that provide conceptual explanations, empirical evidence, or critical discussions concerning the economic contribution of human capital under changing technological conditions. Particular attention is given to publications examining the complementarity between employee competencies and digital technologies, the economic implications of AI adoption, and the mechanisms through which human capital contributes to productivity and innovation.

3.3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The literature selection process is guided by predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria to maintain relevance and analytical consistency. Eligible publications include peer-reviewed journal articles, theoretical contributions, empirical studies, and review papers that examine human capital development, digital transformation, AI-related capabilities, or economic performance. Selected institutional reports may also be included when they provide relevant information concerning technological change, workforce development, or economic productivity. These reports are used primarily to support contextual interpretation rather than replace scholarly evidence.

Table 2. Proposed Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Criterion	Inclusion	Exclusion
Publication period	2015–2026 and relevant seminal publications	Older studies without foundational relevance
Publication type	Peer-reviewed articles, academic books, and selected institutional reports	Promotional materials and unverified publications
Language	English	Publications outside the defined language scope
Research focus	Human capital, digital technologies, productivity, innovation, and competitiveness	Studies without relevant economic or organizational implications
Research design	Conceptual, qualitative, quantitative, mixed-methods, and review studies	Publications lacking sufficient analytical or methodological information
Accessibility	Full-text publications available for assessment	Records with insufficient information for critical evaluation

Studies are considered relevant when they explain at least one substantive relationship between human capital development, technological change, and economic outcomes. Publications focusing exclusively on technical specifications of digital systems without addressing workforce capabilities or economic implications are excluded. Similarly, studies discussing human resource practices without meaningful connections to productivity, innovation, or competitiveness are not prioritized. These criteria are intended to ensure that the selected literature contributes directly to the economic objectives of the study.

3.4. Literature Selection and Data Extraction

The literature selection process is designed to proceed through four stages: identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and final inclusion. During identification, records retrieved from the selected sources are consolidated and checked for duplication. Titles and abstracts are subsequently screened to determine their

relevance to the research objectives. Publications meeting the initial criteria are examined through full-text assessment to evaluate their theoretical contributions, methodological characteristics, and relevance to the relationship between human capital, digital transformation, and economic performance. Relevant information from the selected publications is organized using a structured literature extraction matrix. The matrix records bibliographic information, research objectives, theoretical perspectives, methodological approaches, human capital dimensions, technological factors, economic outcome indicators, principal findings, and research limitations. This structure supports systematic comparisons across studies while recognizing differences in their analytical approaches and organizational contexts.

Table 3. Literature Data Extraction Framework

No	Extraction dimension	Information recorded
1	Bibliographic information	Author, publication year, title, and journal
2	Research characteristics	Objectives, economic context, and methodology
3	Theoretical foundation	Human Capital Theory, RBV, Dynamic Capabilities, or related theories
4	Human capital dimensions	Education, training, work experience, skills, and entrepreneurship
5	Technological factors	Digital competencies, AI adoption, technological adaptability, and human–AI collaboration
6	Economic outcomes	Labor productivity, innovation, productive efficiency, and firm competitiveness
7	Main findings	Reported relationships and explanatory mechanisms
8	Research limitations	Methodological, conceptual, and contextual limitations

The extraction process distinguishes between empirical findings and conceptual arguments. Empirical studies are examined according to their research design, measurement approaches, and analytical limitations, whereas theoretical contributions are evaluated based on conceptual clarity and explanatory relevance. This distinction is important because the existence of a theoretical relationship does not necessarily indicate that its economic effects have been empirically established. The number of publications identified, screened, excluded, and included will be reported after the literature selection process has been completed and documented.

3.5. Data Analysis and Thematic Synthesis

The selected literature is analyzed using qualitative thematic synthesis to identify recurring concepts, compare theoretical explanations, and develop an integrated interpretation of the economic implications of human capital development. The analytical approach draws on the principles of thematic analysis described by Braun and Clarke (2006), particularly the identification, organization, and interpretation of patterns across textual information. Within the integrative review, thematic synthesis is used to examine relationships between established human capital dimensions, technological capabilities, and economic outcomes. The analysis is organized into three interconnected stages. First, the selected publications are examined to identify concepts related to human capital investment, digital transformation, AI, productivity, innovation, and competitiveness. Second, these concepts are coded and organized into thematic categories reflecting the study's theoretical framework. Third, findings across categories are compared to identify common patterns, contradictory explanations, contextual differences, and potential mechanisms connecting human capabilities with economic performance. Five initial analytical themes are derived from the established dimensions of human capital development: education, training, work experience, professional skills, and entrepreneurship. These dimensions provide the foundation for examining how digital competencies and AI-related capabilities influence the economic utilization of human capital. Three economic outcomes—productivity, innovation, and firm-level competitiveness—are subsequently used to organize the interpretation of the literature.

Table 4. Thematic Analysis Framework

Human capital dimension	Digital economy perspective	Economic outcome focus
Education	Digital learning and continuous knowledge development	Labor productivity and knowledge utilization
Training	Digital upskilling, reskilling, and technological readiness	Productive efficiency and returns on training

Work experience	Technological adaptability and human–AI collaboration	Task efficiency and productive decision-making
Professional skills	Digital competencies, AI literacy, and analytical capabilities	Productivity and innovation capacity
Entrepreneurship	Digital opportunity recognition and AI-supported business activities	Innovation and firm competitiveness

The thematic analysis also considers organizational and technological conditions that may influence the relationships under examination. These include technological infrastructure, employee readiness, managerial support, organizational learning, and the costs associated with technological implementation. Contradictory findings are examined rather than excluded, allowing the synthesis to recognize that digital transformation may produce different economic outcomes across organizational contexts.

3.6. Economic Interpretation and Conceptual Framework Development

The conceptual framework is developed by integrating the thematic findings with three complementary theoretical perspectives. Human Capital Theory explains how investments in education, training, and productive capabilities may generate economic returns through improved labor productivity. The Resource-Based View explains how distinctive human competencies and organizational resources may contribute to competitive advantage. Dynamic Capabilities Theory provides an additional explanation of how businesses adapt and reconfigure their resources in response to technological change. The framework distinguishes between human capital inputs, technological capabilities, organizational mechanisms, and economic outcomes. Human capital inputs comprise education, training, work experience, professional skills, and entrepreneurship. Technological capabilities include digital competencies, AI literacy, technological adaptability, and human–AI collaboration. Organizational mechanisms encompass knowledge integration, process improvement, innovation activities, and the effective utilization of technological resources. Economic outcomes are examined through productivity, innovation, and firm-level competitiveness.

The analysis maintains a distinction between these economic outcomes. Productivity refers to the efficiency with which labor and other productive resources generate output or value added. Innovation concerns the introduction or implementation of new or improved products, processes, or business practices. Firm-level competitiveness refers to the ability of businesses to sustain or strengthen their market position through productive efficiency, differentiation, and value creation. These outcomes are treated as conceptually related but analytically distinct. The proposed framework does not assume that technological capabilities automatically mediate or moderate the relationship between human capital and economic performance. Instead, the review examines possible mechanisms and conditions through which digitalization and AI may complement existing human capabilities. The resulting relationships are interpreted as conceptual propositions that require subsequent empirical examination.

3.7. Research Rigor and Methodological Limitations

Several procedures are proposed to strengthen the transparency and credibility of the review. First, the literature search strategy, eligibility criteria, and selection decisions will be documented to ensure that the review process can be traced. Second, the structured extraction matrix will provide a consistent basis for comparing studies across different research designs and economic contexts. Third, the analysis will distinguish between empirically supported findings and theoretical interpretations to avoid presenting conceptual propositions as established causal relationships. Fourth, the synthesis will consider contradictory evidence and contextual differences when interpreting the economic contribution of human capital development. The study also recognizes methodological limitations associated with an integrative literature review. Restricting the search to English-language publications may exclude relevant studies from other linguistic contexts. Differences in database coverage and access to full-text publications may influence the composition of the reviewed literature. Furthermore, variation in organizational characteristics, technological adoption, and economic performance indicators may limit direct comparisons across studies.

As a literature-based study, the research does not estimate the magnitude of economic effects or establish causal relationships between human capital investment, digital competencies, AI adoption, and economic performance. Its primary contribution is to develop a theoretically grounded synthesis that clarifies how human capital development may influence productivity, innovation, and competitiveness under chang-

ing technological conditions. The proposed framework is therefore intended to support conceptual understanding and guide future empirical research.

4. DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Human Capital Development and Economic Value Creation in the Digital Economy

The literature examined in this study provides a conceptual basis for understanding human capital development as an important component of economic value creation in the digital economy. Education, training, work experience, professional skills, and entrepreneurship represent interconnected dimensions of human capital that may contribute to productive efficiency, innovation, and business competitiveness. However, their economic contributions cannot be explained solely by the accumulation of knowledge and experience. The expansion of digital technologies and artificial intelligence (AI) has introduced new requirements concerning how human capabilities are developed, combined with technological resources, and utilized in productive activities. Consequently, human capital development must be understood as a continuous process of capability formation and adaptation rather than a one-time investment in workforce qualifications.

Human Capital Theory provides the economic foundation for this interpretation. Schultz (1961) and Becker (1964) explain that investment in human capabilities can generate economic returns by improving productivity and productive potential. Crook et al. (2011) further demonstrate a positive relationship between human capital and firm performance, indicating that workforce capabilities represent an important source of organizational value. Nevertheless, these contributions do not imply that all investments in human capital produce equivalent economic benefits. The returns depend on the relevance of acquired competencies, the organization of productive activities, and the availability of complementary resources. In the digital economy, technological infrastructure and employee capabilities increasingly interact, making the effective utilization of human capital as important as its initial development.

This relationship becomes clearer when technological investment is distinguished from economic performance. Businesses may acquire advanced information systems, automated equipment, or AI-supported applications without immediately achieving higher productivity. Such investments can introduce additional expenses associated with implementation, training, maintenance, and organizational restructuring. Vial (2019) and Verhoef et al. (2021) emphasize that digital transformation involves changes in organizational processes and value creation mechanisms rather than technological adoption alone. Accordingly, the economic benefits of digitalization are likely to depend on how effectively organizations integrate technological resources with existing human capabilities. Human capital development therefore provides a potential link between digital investment and the realization of productive economic outcomes.

4.2. Education, Digital Learning, and Labor Productivity

Education contributes to economic productivity by strengthening the knowledge, analytical abilities, and problem-solving capabilities required for productive employment. Within Human Capital Theory, educational investment is expected to improve the productive capacity of individuals and potentially increase their economic returns (Becker, 1964). In business organizations, these benefits may emerge through improved work quality, more informed decisions, and the ability to perform complex tasks. However, the economic value of education depends on the extent to which acquired knowledge corresponds to actual production requirements. Digital transformation has increased the importance of continuous learning because technological developments can alter the skills required for employment. The World Economic Forum (2025) estimates that approximately 39% of existing worker skills will change during the 2025–2030 period. Although this figure represents a projection based on employer expectations rather than an observed change in all labor markets, it illustrates the anticipated scale of workforce adjustment. Employees may therefore require additional learning opportunities even when they possess formal educational qualifications. This condition challenges the assumption that educational investment is concentrated primarily before individuals enter the labor market.

From an economic perspective, digital learning can potentially improve human capital formation by expanding access to educational resources and reducing certain costs associated with conventional training arrangements. Online learning platforms allow employees to acquire knowledge while remaining connected to productive activities. Nevertheless, lower learning costs do not necessarily translate into higher productivity. The economic return depends on whether employees can apply newly acquired competencies to their

work. For example, an employee who completes a data analytics course may contribute to operational efficiency only when the organization provides relevant data, suitable technological infrastructure, and opportunities to use analytical knowledge in decision-making. This suggests that the relationship between education and productivity is influenced by the alignment between learning outcomes and organizational requirements. Digital learning should therefore be evaluated not merely through participation or certification but through its contribution to productive capabilities. Businesses that connect educational investment with technological needs and practical work applications may be better positioned to realize economic benefits. Conversely, investments in education that remain disconnected from production processes may generate limited immediate improvements in labor productivity.

4.3. Training, Digital Upskilling, and Returns on Human Capital Investment

Training represents a more targeted dimension of human capital investment because it develops competencies associated with particular occupational activities. Salas et al. (2012) emphasize that effective training requires appropriate instructional design, employee engagement, and organizational conditions that support the transfer of learning into workplace practice. From an economic standpoint, training may generate benefits through improved task efficiency, reduced operational errors, and better resource utilization. However, these benefits must be considered alongside training expenditures, employee time, and the opportunity costs associated with participation. The growing use of digital technologies has increased the relevance of upskilling and reskilling. Upskilling allows employees to develop additional competencies within existing occupational roles, whereas reskilling prepares individuals for substantially different tasks. Both processes are important when technological change modifies production methods and workforce requirements. Autor et al. (2003) demonstrate that technological advancement can change the composition of occupational tasks, indicating that the economic value of particular skills is not fixed. Although their analysis concerns an earlier period of computerization, its task-based perspective remains useful for interpreting contemporary workforce adjustment. AI adoption creates additional demands for training because employees must learn not only how to operate intelligent systems but also how to evaluate their outputs and recognize their limitations. Long & Magerko (2020) explain that AI literacy involves competencies associated with understanding and interacting with AI technologies. In practical terms, employees may need to develop capabilities in data interpretation, AI-assisted analysis, information verification, and technology-supported decision-making. These competencies can complement existing professional knowledge and potentially improve the efficiency of selected business activities.

The economic implications of training become more complex when its costs and benefits occur at different times. Businesses may initially experience higher expenditure and temporary reductions in productive working hours during training implementation. Productivity improvements may emerge later as employees become more familiar with technological systems. Consequently, evaluating digital training solely through short-term financial outcomes may underestimate its potential longer-term contribution. At the same time, organizations should not assume that every training initiative generates positive economic returns. The effectiveness of training depends on technological suitability, employee readiness, managerial support, and opportunities to apply acquired knowledge. These considerations indicate that digital upskilling should be understood as an investment decision involving expected productivity gains, implementation costs, and uncertainty. Its economic value becomes more substantial when training improves the utilization of technological resources and reduces inefficiencies in business processes. Therefore, the relationship between workforce development and productivity is best interpreted through the effective application of acquired capabilities rather than the amount of training provided.

4.4. Work Experience, Human–AI Collaboration, and Productive Efficiency

Work experience contributes to human capital through the accumulation of practical knowledge, contextual understanding, and professional judgment. Experienced employees often possess familiarity with organizational routines, customer requirements, and operational difficulties that cannot be fully represented through formal educational qualifications. These capabilities may support productive efficiency by improving problem identification, decision-making, and coordination. However, technological transformation can change the relevance of accumulated experience when established procedures are replaced or substantially modified by digital systems. The relationship between experience and technology is particularly important in AI-supported work environments. AI applications may assist with information analysis, forecasting, classification,

and selected decision-making activities. Nevertheless, the economic value of these applications depends partly on employees' ability to interpret technological outputs within specific organizational contexts. Raisch & Krakowski (2021) describe the automation–augmentation paradox, emphasizing that AI may simultaneously replace certain tasks and complement human expertise. This perspective suggests that experienced employees may remain valuable even when technological systems perform activities previously associated with human labor. For example, an AI-supported forecasting application may generate sales projections based on historical transaction data. However, experienced employees may recognize contextual factors that are not adequately represented in the available information, including changes in customer behavior, local market conditions, or disruptions in supply arrangements. Their professional judgment can help determine whether technological predictions are appropriate for operational decisions. In this situation, the economic contribution of AI does not arise exclusively from automated analysis but from the combination of technological processing and human contextual knowledge.

Nevertheless, human–AI collaboration does not automatically improve productivity. Employees may overestimate the accuracy of technological outputs, while organizations may introduce AI applications without clearly defining responsibilities and decision-making procedures. Such conditions can generate additional verification costs, coordination difficulties, or operational errors. Consequently, effective collaboration requires appropriate task allocation, technological understanding, and organizational oversight. The relationship between work experience and productive efficiency should therefore be interpreted through technological complementarity. Experience contributes contextual knowledge and professional judgment, while AI may expand information-processing capacity and support repetitive or analytical activities. Their integration can potentially improve productive outcomes when technological systems are appropriately designed and employees possess the competencies required for effective collaboration. This suggests that the economic value of work experience increasingly depends on the capacity to combine accumulated professional knowledge with emerging technological capabilities.

4.5. Professional Skills, Digital Competencies, and Innovation Capacity

Professional skills represent a central component of human capital because they enable employees to transform knowledge into productive activities. These capabilities include technical expertise, analytical reasoning, communication, problem-solving, and managerial judgment. In the digital economy, professional competence increasingly involves the ability to interact with technological systems and utilize information in productive decision-making. Vuorikari et al. (2022) identify information and data literacy, communication and collaboration, digital content creation, safety, and problem-solving as important components of digital competence. Digital competencies may influence economic productivity by improving the efficiency of information processing, reducing certain communication barriers, and supporting more effective coordination. However, their contribution extends beyond operational efficiency. Employees who can interpret digital information and utilize technological tools may also identify opportunities for improving products, services, and business processes. This creates a potential relationship between digital competencies and organizational innovation. Innovation requires the ability to recognize problems, develop alternative solutions, and implement improvements that generate organizational or market value. Digital technologies can support these activities by expanding access to information and enabling experimentation. Nevertheless, technological accessibility alone does not guarantee innovative outcomes. Employees must possess the analytical and professional capabilities required to interpret information, evaluate alternatives, and translate ideas into practical applications. Consequently, professional skills may influence innovation by determining how effectively organizations transform digital resources into economically valuable improvements.

AI literacy introduces an additional element to this relationship. Long & Magerko (2020) explain that AI literacy involves understanding and critically evaluating AI technologies. In organizational settings, these capabilities may support innovation by allowing employees to explore alternative solutions, analyze information, and improve selected work processes. However, the use of AI-generated information also requires professional judgment because technological outputs may contain inaccuracies or lack contextual relevance. The economic contribution of professional skills therefore depends on their integration with technological capabilities. Digital competencies may improve employees' ability to access and process information, while established professional expertise supports interpretation and implementation. Their combination can potentially strengthen innovation capacity, although actual outcomes remain dependent on organizational resources, managerial support, and market conditions. This interpretation indicates that digital competencies

should be viewed as complementary productive capabilities rather than independent determinants of economic performance.

4.6. Entrepreneurship, Digital Innovation, and Business Competitiveness

Entrepreneurship contributes to economic development through opportunity recognition, innovation, and the mobilization of productive resources. Lumpkin & Dess (1996) explain that entrepreneurial orientation involves characteristics such as innovativeness, proactiveness, and risk-taking. These capabilities can support business competitiveness by encouraging organizations to explore market opportunities and develop new approaches to value creation. However, entrepreneurial success depends on more than individual initiative because businesses must also possess the resources and organizational capabilities required to implement their strategies. Digital transformation has changed entrepreneurial activities by expanding access to information, markets, and technological resources. Nambisan (2017) argues that digital technologies reshape entrepreneurship by changing the processes through which opportunities are identified and exploited. Digital platforms may allow businesses to reach customers beyond conventional geographic boundaries, while data analytics can support the identification of consumer preferences and market trends. These developments may reduce certain barriers to market participation, although their economic benefits differ across businesses and industries. AI-supported applications introduce further possibilities for entrepreneurial innovation. Businesses may use AI tools to assist with customer segmentation, product development, demand forecasting, and marketing communication. These applications can potentially reduce the time and resources required for selected business activities. However, the accessibility of AI technologies also creates competitive challenges because similar tools may be available to numerous market participants. Consequently, the adoption of AI alone may provide limited opportunities for sustained differentiation.

The Resource-Based View offers an explanation for these differences. Barney (1991) argues that sustained competitive advantage depends on resources that are valuable, rare, difficult to imitate, and nonsubstitutable. When digital technologies are widely accessible, competitive advantage may depend more strongly on the ability to combine technological applications with distinctive market knowledge, entrepreneurial judgment, and organizational capabilities. Businesses may therefore achieve different outcomes despite utilizing similar digital platforms because they possess different combinations of human and organizational resources. From an economic perspective, digital entrepreneurship should be evaluated through its contribution to innovation, productive efficiency, and market competitiveness. Expanding online market access may increase potential demand, but it does not necessarily guarantee profitability or sustainable growth. Businesses must consider marketing expenditure, technological costs, competitive pressure, and the ability to deliver value to customers. Therefore, the economic contribution of entrepreneurial human capital depends on how effectively digital opportunities are transformed into viable business activities and sustained competitive outcomes.

4.7. Artificial Intelligence, Productivity Gains, and Economic Adjustment Costs

Artificial intelligence has the potential to influence economic productivity by changing how organizations allocate labor, process information, and perform productive tasks. AI-supported applications may reduce the time required for selected activities and improve access to analytical information. However, the economic consequences of AI adoption are not limited to efficiency improvements because technological implementation can also generate adjustment costs, workforce restructuring, and changes in the demand for occupational competencies. The distinction between task-level efficiency and firm-level productivity is particularly important. An AI application may allow an employee to complete a specific activity more quickly without necessarily increasing the overall productivity of the business. Productivity improvements depend on whether the time saved is translated into additional output, higher value added, better resource utilization, or reduced costs. If technological adoption requires substantial expenditure or introduces additional verification and coordination activities, the resulting economic benefits may be smaller than the initial efficiency improvements suggest.

Raisch & Krakowski (2021) explain that automation and augmentation create simultaneous opportunities and challenges for organizational management. Automation may reduce human involvement in certain tasks, while augmentation may improve the productive capabilities of employees. These processes can occur within the same organization, creating different implications for workforce development. Employees whose tasks are increasingly automated may require reskilling, while those whose work is complemented

by AI may benefit from additional analytical and technological competencies. These relationships suggest that human capital development can influence the economic returns associated with AI adoption. Organizations that invest in technological infrastructure without developing appropriate workforce capabilities may experience difficulties integrating AI into productive activities. Conversely, businesses that combine technological investment with employee training, professional expertise, and organizational adaptation may be better positioned to realize productivity benefits. However, these relationships remain contingent on the characteristics of technologies, tasks, and organizational environments. AI implementation may improve some activities while generating limited benefits in others. Therefore, its economic contribution should be assessed through changes in productive outcomes rather than technological adoption alone. This interpretation reinforces the importance of examining human capital and technological investment as potentially complementary components of economic performance.

4.8. Integrating Human Capital Theory, the Resource-Based View, and Dynamic Capabilities

The economic relationship between human capital development and digital transformation can be interpreted through three complementary theoretical perspectives. Human Capital Theory explains why investments in education, training, and productive capabilities may generate economic returns (Schultz, 1961; Becker, 1964). The Resource-Based View explains how distinctive human capabilities may contribute to competitive advantage (Barney, 1991). Dynamic Capabilities Theory further explains how organizations adapt and reconfigure their resources in response to technological and market changes (Teece, 2007). Human Capital Theory provides the starting point because it identifies knowledge and skills as productive assets. Education, training, work experience, professional skills, and entrepreneurship contribute to the development of capabilities that may improve productive activities. However, this perspective alone does not fully explain why businesses with comparable workforce qualifications may achieve different economic outcomes. Differences in organizational processes, technological resources, and strategic capabilities can influence how human capital is utilized. The Resource-Based View extends the explanation by emphasizing the strategic value of distinctive resources and capabilities. Human capital may contribute to competitive advantage when employee knowledge and expertise are difficult for competitors to replicate. Nevertheless, digital technologies create new challenges because many technological applications are commercially available. Businesses may therefore require unique combinations of human expertise, organizational knowledge, and technological capabilities to develop meaningful differentiation.

Dynamic Capabilities Theory adds an adaptive dimension to this relationship. Teece (2007) emphasizes sensing, seizing, and reconfiguring as important processes through which organizations respond to environmental changes. In the digital economy, these processes may involve recognizing technological opportunities, investing in workforce development, and redesigning productive activities. Human capital development can support organizational adaptation by strengthening employees' ability to learn, innovate, and respond to changing technological requirements. The integration of these theories suggests that economic performance depends on three interconnected processes: developing productive human capabilities, combining these capabilities with valuable organizational resources, and continuously adapting them to technological change. These processes provide a conceptual explanation for why digital investment may generate different productivity, innovation, and competitiveness outcomes across businesses. Rather than treating technology and human capital as independent sources of performance, the integrated perspective emphasizes their potential complementarity and the organizational mechanisms required to realize economic value.

4.9. Proposed Conceptual Framework: Human Capital Development and Economic Performance in the Digital Economy

Based on the theoretical synthesis, this study proposes a conceptual framework linking human capital development, digital and AI-related capabilities, organizational value-creation mechanisms, and firm-level economic outcomes. The framework retains five foundational dimensions of human capital: education, training, work experience, professional skills, and entrepreneurship. These dimensions are understood as sources of productive knowledge and capabilities that may contribute to economic performance through their interaction with technological resources. Digital competencies, AI literacy, technological adaptability, and human–AI collaboration represent complementary capabilities that may influence the productive utilization of human capital. These capabilities are expected to support organizational processes such as knowledge integra-

tion, operational improvement, technological adaptation, and innovation. However, their contribution depends on organizational conditions, including technological infrastructure, managerial support, employee readiness, and the costs associated with implementation. The framework distinguishes three economic outcomes. Productivity reflects the efficiency of resource utilization and the generation of output or value added. Innovation reflects the development or implementation of improved products, processes, and business practices. Firm-level competitiveness concerns the ability to maintain or strengthen market position through productive efficiency, innovation, differentiation, and value creation. These outcomes may influence one another, but their relationships are not assumed to be automatic or uniform.

Table 5. Proposed Conceptual Relationships Between HC Development and Economic Outcomes

Human capital dimension	Complementary digital capability	Potential economic mechanism	Expected economic outcome
Education	Digital learning and technological knowledge	Improved knowledge utilization and analytical capacity	Labor productivity
Training	Digital upskilling and AI-related training	Improved task execution and technological utilization	Productive efficiency
Work experience	Technological adaptability and human–AI collaboration	Integration of professional judgment and technological analysis	Operational productivity
Professional skills	Digital competencies and AI literacy	Knowledge application, problem-solving, and experimentation	Productivity and innovation
Entrepreneurship	Digital opportunity recognition and AI-supported business capabilities	Market innovation, resource mobilization, and value creation	Innovation and firm competitiveness

The framework proposes that human capital development contributes to economic performance through the effective utilization of digital and AI-related capabilities. Organizational learning, process improvement, and innovation represent possible mechanisms through which these capabilities influence productivity and competitiveness. However, the framework also recognizes that technological adoption may generate adjustment costs and that productivity improvements do not necessarily lead directly to innovation or sustained competitive advantage. Five research propositions are developed to guide future empirical investigation.

- P1. Human capital development through education is positively associated with firm-level labor productivity when educational capabilities are effectively integrated with relevant digital technologies.
- P2. Digital upskilling and AI-related training contribute to productive efficiency when acquired competencies are applied to organizational processes.
- P3. The complementarity between work experience and human–AI collaboration supports operational productivity through improved information interpretation and decision-making.
- P4. Professional skills contribute to productivity and innovation through their integration with digital competencies and AI literacy.
- P5. Entrepreneurial human capital contributes to innovation and firm-level competitiveness through the effective identification and utilization of digital business opportunities.

These propositions provide a conceptual basis for future quantitative, qualitative, and longitudinal studies. They should not be interpreted as hypotheses that have been empirically confirmed by the present review.

4.10. Implications for Economic Theory and Business Practice

The conceptual synthesis offers several implications for understanding human capital development from an economic perspective. First, it extends the interpretation of human capital investment by emphasizing that the productive value of education, training, and professional experience may depend on technological complementarities. Traditional Human Capital Theory explains the economic benefits of developing knowledge and skills, but digital transformation introduces additional considerations regarding technological adaptability and the continuous renewal of competencies. This suggests that human capital investment should be evaluated not only through accumulated qualifications but also through its relevance to changing production requirements. Second, the synthesis contributes to the Resource-Based View by highlighting the distinction between technological accessibility and competitive differentiation. Businesses may acquire similar digital

platforms and AI applications, but their economic outcomes may differ because of variations in employee capabilities, organizational knowledge, and the ability to integrate technological resources into productive activities. Competitive advantage may therefore depend less on technology ownership alone and more on the distinctive combinations of human and technological capabilities developed within organizations. Third, the integration with Dynamic Capabilities Theory emphasizes the economic importance of organizational adaptation. Technological transformation can alter production processes, occupational tasks, and market conditions. Businesses must therefore develop capabilities that support continuous learning, resource reconfiguration, and innovation. Human capital development may contribute to these processes by strengthening employees' ability to recognize opportunities, utilize technological resources, and adjust productive activities.

For business practice, the findings suggest that investments in digital technologies should be coordinated with workforce development strategies. Organizations should identify the competencies required for specific technological applications and provide learning opportunities that correspond to operational needs. Training effectiveness should be evaluated through improvements in productive activities rather than participation alone. Similarly, AI adoption should be accompanied by appropriate human oversight, professional judgment, and organizational procedures that support responsible technological utilization. Businesses should also distinguish between operational efficiency, innovation, and competitiveness when evaluating digital investments. A reduction in task completion time may represent an operational improvement, but its contribution to productivity depends on the resulting changes in output or resource utilization. Innovation may generate future economic benefits but also involve experimentation costs and uncertainty. Competitive advantage requires the ability to maintain meaningful differentiation or efficiency relative to competitors. Recognizing these distinctions can help businesses make more informed decisions concerning human capital investment and technological transformation.

4.11. Research Limitations and Future Research Directions

The present discussion is based on a conceptual integration of established theoretical perspectives and relevant scholarly literature. Its primary contribution lies in developing an economic interpretation of how human capital dimensions may interact with digital competencies and AI-related capabilities to influence productivity, innovation, and firm-level competitiveness. However, the proposed relationships have not been tested using primary data, and the framework does not establish causal effects or estimate the magnitude of economic returns. An additional limitation concerns differences in organizational and technological contexts. The economic contribution of human capital may vary according to firm size, industry characteristics, technological infrastructure, workforce composition, and market conditions. Digital capabilities that improve productivity in one organizational setting may generate limited benefits in another. Similarly, the costs and benefits associated with AI adoption may differ across occupational tasks and levels of technological readiness.

Future research should examine these relationships through empirical designs that distinguish human capital investment, technological capabilities, and economic outcomes. Quantitative studies may investigate whether digital competencies and AI literacy mediate or moderate the relationship between human capital development and productivity. Longitudinal research would be particularly valuable for examining the timing of technological adjustment costs and subsequent productivity gains. Comparative studies across industries and firm sizes could also clarify the conditions under which digital investment generates meaningful economic benefits. Further research should distinguish task-level efficiency from firm-level productivity and investigate whether innovation serves as a mechanism linking human capital development with competitiveness. Such studies would help determine whether the conceptual relationships proposed in this review are supported across different economic and organizational contexts. Until empirical evidence is obtained, the framework should be regarded as a theoretically grounded explanation of potential relationships rather than a validated model of economic performance.

5. CONCLUSION, IMPLICATION, SUGGESTION, AND LIMITATIONS

This study highlights the importance of human capital development in supporting productivity, innovation, and firm-level economic competitiveness in the digital economy. The conceptual synthesis indicates that education, training, work experience, professional skills, and entrepreneurship remain fundamental dimen-

sions of human capital, although their economic contributions increasingly depend on the effective integration of digital competencies and artificial intelligence (AI). Technological investment alone does not necessarily generate higher productivity or sustainable competitive advantage without corresponding improvements in workforce capabilities and organizational adaptation. By integrating Human Capital Theory, the Resource-Based View, and Dynamic Capabilities Theory, this study proposes that economic value emerges through the complementary relationship between human capabilities, technological resources, and organizational processes. Therefore, human capital development should be understood not merely as an investment in employee knowledge but as a continuous economic process that supports productive efficiency, innovation, and business competitiveness under changing technological conditions.

The findings offer theoretical and practical implications for understanding human capital development from an economic perspective. Theoretically, this study connects human capital investment with technological complementarity and organizational adaptation, providing an integrated explanation of how workforce capabilities may contribute to productivity, innovation, and competitiveness. It also emphasizes that these economic outcomes are interconnected but should not be treated as interchangeable measures of performance. Practically, businesses should align investments in education, training, and professional development with their digital transformation strategies to improve the utilization of technological resources. Digital upskilling, AI literacy, and human–AI collaboration should be developed according to actual operational requirements rather than technological trends alone. Furthermore, organizations should evaluate the economic benefits of workforce development through improvements in productive efficiency, innovation outcomes, and competitive positioning while considering implementation costs and the time required to realize investment returns.

This study has several limitations that should be considered when interpreting its contributions. First, the research adopts a conceptual integrative literature review approach without collecting primary data or conducting statistical analysis; therefore, the proposed relationships cannot establish causality or determine the magnitude of economic effects. Second, the framework integrates theoretical perspectives and selected scholarly contributions, but the comprehensive literature search, screening, and extraction procedures require completion and documentation before the synthesis can be presented as the outcome of a fully implemented review. Third, differences in industry characteristics, firm size, technological readiness, workforce composition, and market conditions may influence the economic returns associated with human capital development. Finally, the proposed conceptual framework has not been empirically validated, limiting the extent to which its explanatory relationships can be generalized across organizational and economic contexts.

Future research should empirically examine the relationships between human capital development, digital competencies, AI adoption, and economic performance using quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods approaches. Particular attention should be given to the potential mediating roles of technological adaptability, organizational learning, and innovation, as well as the moderating effects of firm size, industry characteristics, and digital readiness. Longitudinal studies are also recommended to investigate how the economic returns on human capital and technological investments develop over time, including initial adjustment costs and subsequent productivity improvements. Comparative research involving small and medium-sized enterprises and larger organizations could provide further insights into differences in technological accessibility and workforce development capabilities. For business practitioners, priority should be given to continuous learning, targeted digital upskilling, responsible AI utilization, and the systematic evaluation of productivity and innovation outcomes to ensure that investments in human and technological resources contribute to sustainable economic competitiveness.

REFERENCES

Autor, D. H., Levy, F., & Murnane, R. J. (2003). The skill content of recent technological change: An empirical exploration. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 118*(4), 1279–1333. https://doi.org/10.1162/003355303322552801

Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management, 17*(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108

Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education*. National Bureau of Economic Research.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Crook, T. R., Todd, S. Y., Combs, J. G., Woehr, D. J., & Ketchen, D. J., Jr. (2011). Does human capital matter? A meta-analysis of the relationship between human capital and firm performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 96*(3), 443–456. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022147

Long, D., & Magerko, B. (2020). What is AI literacy? Competencies and design considerations. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1–16). Association for Computing Machinery. https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376727

Lumpkin, G. T., & Dess, G. G. (1996). Clarifying the entrepreneurial orientation construct and linking it to performance. *Academy of Management Review, 21*(1), 135–172. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1996.9602161568

Nambisan, S. (2017). Digital entrepreneurship: Toward a digital technology perspective of entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 41*(6), 1029–1055. https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12254

Raisch, S., & Krakowski, S. (2021). Artificial intelligence and management: The automation–augmentation paradox. *Academy of Management Review, 46*(1), 192–210. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2018.0072

Salas, E., Tannenbaum, S. I., Kraiger, K., & Smith-Jentsch, K. A. (2012). The science of training and development in organizations: What matters in practice. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 13*(2), 74–101. https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100612436661

Schultz, T. W. (1961). Investment in human capital. *The American Economic Review, 51*(1), 1–17.

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research, 104*, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal, 28*(13), 1319–1350. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.640

Torraco, R. J. (2005). Writing integrative literature reviews: Guidelines and examples. *Human Resource Development Review, 4*(3), 356–367. https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484305278283

Verhoef, P. C., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., Bhattacharya, A., Dong, J. Q., Fabian, N., & Haenlein, M. (2021). Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research, 122*, 889–901. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.022

Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 28*(2), 118–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2019.01.003

Vuorikari, R., Kluzer, S., & Punie, Y. (2022). *DigComp 2.2: The digital competence framework for citizens – With new examples of knowledge, skills and attitudes*. Publications Office of the European Union. https://doi.org/10.2760/115376

World Economic Forum. (2025). *The future of jobs report 2025*. https://www.weforum.org/publications/the-future-of-jobs-report-2025/