

The Role of Digital Bureaucracy in Public Administration Transformation in the Era of Digital Government

Budi Sunarso^{1*}

¹Universitas Islam Negeri Salatiga, Indonesia

Email: sunarsobudi77@gmail.com

Abstract. The transformation of public administration in the era of digital government requires bureaucracy to adapt through the systematic use of information and communication technology. The concept of digital bureaucracy emerges as a strategic approach to enhance efficiency, transparency, and the quality of public services. This study aims to analyze the role of digital bureaucracy in the transformation of public administration in the digital government era. The research method employed is a literature review with a qualitative and descriptive analysis approach. Data were obtained from scientific articles and credible sources published between 1990 and 2025 via Google Scholar and official institutional websites. Out of 50 identified articles, 31 were selected through a rigorous selection process based on relevance and academic quality. The findings indicate that digital bureaucracy plays a significant role in improving bureaucratic efficiency, public service integration, as well as governmental transparency and accountability. Case studies from various countries, such as Estonia, South Korea, Singapore, Indonesia, and India, show that the success of digital bureaucracy implementation is highly influenced by institutional readiness, human resource capacity, system integration, and sustainable policies. This study emphasizes that digital bureaucracy represents a comprehensive and contextual process of institutional transformation.

Keywords: Digital Bureaucracy, Public Administration, Digital Government, Bureaucratic Transformation, Public Services.

1. Introduction

Digital transformation has become a primary agenda in public sector reform in various countries, including Indonesia, alongside the rapid development of information and communication technology over the past two decades. This development has driven fundamental changes in how governments design policies, manage bureaucratic organizations, and deliver services to the public. Public administration, which was previously manual, hierarchical, and highly procedural, is now required to become more adaptive, transparent, and performance- and results-oriented. In this context, digitalization is understood as a systemic process of change encompassing organizational structure, bureaucratic work patterns, and decision-making mechanisms in modern governance.

The concept of digital bureaucracy emerges as a response to the limitations of traditional bureaucracy in addressing increasingly dynamic public demands. Conventional bureaucracy is often criticized for its slow processes, high administrative costs, and low responsiveness to public needs. Digital bureaucracy offers a new approach by leveraging digital technology to simplify administrative processes, accelerate bureaucratic workflows, and enhance the accuracy and consistency of public services. Through digital-based systems, decision-making can be performed more rapidly and data-driven, making technology a strategic instrument in enhancing the performance and credibility of governmental bureaucracy [1].

In public administration literature, digital bureaucracy is often associated with the concepts of e-government and digital government, which have developed progressively. E-government generally emphasizes the use of information technology to improve internal government efficiency and the quality of public services, particularly through the digitalization of administrative procedures [2]. Meanwhile, digital government emphasizes a more comprehensive transformation of business processes, organizational structures, and governmental work culture [3]. Digital bureaucracy lies between these two concepts because it focuses on

fundamental changes in bureaucratic work mechanisms, inter-agency relations, and the relationship between government and society.

Several studies indicate that the implementation of digital bureaucracy can enhance public administration efficiency through process automation, data integration, and the reduction of operational costs. Integrated information systems enable more effective inter-agency coordination and reduce the duplication of work that has historically burdened bureaucracy [4]. Moreover, the use of digital technology can accelerate service delivery times, minimize administrative errors, and improve decision-making quality through the utilization of more accurate and real-time data [5]. Digital bureaucracy directly contributes to improving the performance of public organizations and the quality of government service outputs.

Beyond efficiency, digital bureaucracy also plays a crucial role in enhancing governmental transparency and accountability. Digital platforms allow citizens to access public information more easily, quickly, and broadly, thereby opening opportunities for public oversight of bureaucratic performance [6]. This transparency has the potential to reduce corruption, collusion, and nepotism, which have long been major challenges in public administration, particularly in developing countries. With increased information openness and accountability in service processes, bureaucratic digitalization contributes to strengthening the principles of good governance and enhancing public trust in the government.

However, the implementation of digital bureaucracy is not free from various structural and institutional challenges. Digital divides between regions, limited technological infrastructure, and the low competence of bureaucratic human resources represent significant obstacles in the digital transformation process [7]. Additionally, resistance from bureaucrats to changes in work culture, concerns over data security and privacy, and weak inter-agency coordination often hinder the optimal adoption of digital technology [8]. Digital bureaucracy transformation requires a comprehensive, well-planned, and sustainable approach to ensure its benefits are felt uniformly.

From a theoretical perspective, the transformation toward digital bureaucracy can be analyzed through the lenses of New Public Management (NPM) and New Public Service (NPS). NPM emphasizes efficiency, performance, and the application of private-sector managerial practices in public bureaucracy, including the use of technology as a tool to enhance productivity [9]. Meanwhile, NPS highlights the importance of citizen participation, collaboration among actors, and orientation toward public interest and democratic values [10]. Digital bureaucracy has the potential to bridge these two approaches by combining technological efficiency with citizen-oriented public service values.

Based on the literature review, it can be concluded that digital bureaucracy plays a strategic role in the transformation of public administration in the era of digital government. Bureaucratic digitalization aims to improve administrative efficiency, strengthen transparency and accountability, and enhance the quality of public services sustainably. Therefore, examining the role of digital bureaucracy is essential to understand the extent to which digital transformation can promote a more effective, responsive, and citizen-centered government.

1.1. Digital Bureaucracy

Digital bureaucracy refers to a form of modern bureaucracy that utilizes information and communication technology in an integrated manner to perform government administrative functions [11]. This concept involves fundamental changes in organizational structure, work processes, and bureaucratic culture. Through the use of digital systems, bureaucracy is directed to operate more efficiently, in a coordinated manner, and in a data-driven way. Digital bureaucracy aims to enhance efficiency, transparency, and accountability in governance through service automation, cross-agency data integration, and the use of digital technology in public decision-making processes. Thus, technology is positioned not merely as a supporting tool but as a strategic instrument in public administration reform and the improvement of bureaucratic performance.

1.2. Public Administration

Public administration is the process of managing policies and delivering services conducted by government institutions to meet the needs and interests of the wider society [12]. The scope of public administration includes policy planning, program implementation, performance evaluation, and management of state resources to ensure effective, efficient, and accountable use. In the context of digital government, public administration has experienced a significant shift from the rigid conventional bureaucratic approach toward governance that is more adaptive, responsive, and technology-driven. The utilization of digital systems enables administrative processes to become faster, more transparent, and measurable, thereby supporting the achievement of development goals and the improvement of public service quality for citizens.

1.3. Digital Government

Digital government is the concept of governance that systematically and sustainably utilizes digital technology throughout the entire public policy cycle, from formulation and implementation to evaluation [11]. This concept goes beyond the e-government approach, which focuses primarily on service digitalization, by emphasizing cross-sector integration, strategic data utilization, and collaboration between government, society, and the private sector. Digital government encourages the use of data and technology as the basis for more accurate and responsive decision-making to citizens' needs. The main objective of digital government is to create governance that is more effective, transparent, innovative, and oriented toward long-term public interest.

1.4. Bureaucratic Transformation

Bureaucratic transformation is a process of fundamental changes in organizational structure, work systems, and bureaucratic culture aimed at improving performance and the quality of public services [13]. This process includes regulatory reform, simplification of administrative procedures, strengthening human resource capacity, and the implementation of various innovations, including the use of digital technology. In the era of digital government, bureaucratic transformation becomes a primary prerequisite for the successful implementation of digital bureaucracy, as technology alone will not achieve optimal impact without changes in bureaucrats' mindsets and working methods. Bureaucratic transformation must be understood as a continuous process requiring strong institutional commitment and leadership to realize modern governance.

1.5 Public Services

Public services are all forms of services provided by the government to society to meet basic needs and ensure citizens' rights [14]. Public services cover various sectors, such as civil administration, education, health, licensing, social services, economy, and legal affairs, which directly interact with citizens' daily lives. The digitalization of public services through online systems and integrated platforms aims to improve accessibility, speed, and service quality, particularly for populations previously disadvantaged in accessing government services. Moreover, the use of digital technology in public services also contributes to increased transparency, accountability, and citizen satisfaction with government performance, thereby strengthening legitimacy and public trust.

2. Method

This study employs a literature review method with a qualitative and descriptive analysis approach. This approach was selected to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the role of digital bureaucracy in the transformation of public administration in the era of digital government through an in-depth analysis of previous research findings. The literature review enables the identification of patterns, concepts, and theoretical and empirical trends that have developed in the study of digital public administration. The research data consists of secondary data obtained from scientific articles, research reports, and relevant policy documents. Literature searches were conducted via Google Scholar and several credible websites, including government institutions, international organizations, and reputable journal publishers. To maintain the relevance and novelty of the study, the literature used was limited to publications released between 1990 and 2025. The initial data collection resulted in 50 articles related to digital bureaucracy, e-government, and public administration transformation. Subsequently, a strict selection process was carried out using inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria included topic relevance to the research focus, clarity of research methodology, and conceptual or empirical contribution to the study of digital bureaucracy. Exclusion criteria included duplicate articles, those substantially irrelevant, or with low academic quality. Based on this selection, 31 articles were deemed most relevant and credible for further analysis. The selected articles were then analyzed using qualitative descriptive analysis by grouping themes, concepts, and main findings related to the role of digital bureaucracy in public administration. The analysis focused on aspects of bureaucratic efficiency, transparency, accountability, and implementation challenges in the context of digital government. The results were presented narratively and systematically to provide a comprehensive overview of literature developments, research gaps, and the theoretical and practical implications of digital bureaucracy implementation.

3. Result and Discussion

The transformation of public administration in the era of digital government is marked by a paradigm shift from conventional bureaucracy toward digital bureaucracy, which positions information technology as a central instrument in governance. From the perspective of New Public Management (NPM) theory, digital bureaucracy is understood as a modern manifestation of bureaucratic reform aimed at efficiency, effectiveness, and enhanced

public sector performance [9]. The utilization of digital technology enables the simplification of administrative procedures, automation of work processes, and standardization of services, allowing bureaucracy to operate according to principles that resemble private-sector managerial practices. Several previous studies have shown that the implementation of digital systems in public administration significantly contributes to reducing service delivery time, lowering operational costs, and increasing the productivity of state apparatus [5], [11]. Bureaucratic digitalization strengthens economic and managerial rationality in technology-based public administration reform.

Beyond its foundation in NPM, the concept of digital bureaucracy is also closely related to New Public Service (NPS) theory, which emphasizes public interest, democracy, transparency, and citizen participation. Within the NPS framework, digital technology is not merely used to improve internal bureaucratic efficiency but also serves as a means to expand public access to government services and information [10]. Digital service platforms, such as integrated service portals and electronic government applications, provide more inclusive interaction spaces between government and citizens. Previous research indicates that information openness and easier access to services through digital technology can enhance public trust in government and strengthen bureaucratic accountability [15]. In the NPS perspective, digital bureaucracy is positioned as a normative instrument that supports governance that is more responsive, participatory, and oriented toward the broader public interest.

Previous studies also highlight that the success of digital bureaucracy implementation is highly influenced by system integration and institutional readiness. Comparative studies show that partial and fragmented digitalization across agencies tends to produce digital bureaucracy that is inefficient, redundant, and difficult for citizens to access [11], [16]. Conversely, cross-sector integration, data interoperability, and alignment of information technology policies have been proven to significantly improve the quality of public services [17]. System integration enables faster and more accurate data exchange, reduces duplication of administrative processes, and supports data-driven decision-making [18]. These findings reinforce the view that the transformation of public administration toward digital bureaucracy requires a systemic and holistic approach rather than mere individual technology adoption by each government agency.

Case studies from developed countries provide empirical insights into relatively successful and sustainable digital bureaucracy implementation. Estonia, through the X-Road system, has built a national digital ecosystem integrating population, taxation, health, and licensing services based on the once-only principle, so that citizens do not have to repeatedly submit the same data to different agencies [19], [20]. South Korea has developed the Government 24 portal as a centralized digital public administration service hub, standardized and integrated across ministries [21], while Singapore implements the Smart Nation program, which emphasizes data utilization, digital intelligence, and user-oriented service design [19]. These three countries demonstrate that the success of digital bureaucracy lies in consistent national policies, system integration, and a public service-oriented approach. These practices show that digital bureaucracy can simplify c

Although the literature emphasizes that the success of digital bureaucracy is not solely determined by technology, it heavily depends on organizational culture change and the capacity of human resources within the bureaucracy. The case of the United Kingdom through the Government Digital Service (GDS) demonstrates that digital bureaucratic reform requires a shift in work patterns toward a more collaborative, adaptive, and user-oriented approach [22]. This transformation includes the development of digital competencies, changes in organizational structure, and the strengthening of leadership that supports innovation. Previous studies indicate that without human resource readiness and strong institutional commitment, bureaucratic digitalization risks producing only procedural administrative changes [23]. Digital technology does not automatically improve bureaucratic performance if it is not accompanied by deep cultural and managerial transformation.

In the context of developing countries, implementing digital bureaucracy faces more complex challenges compared to developed countries. Studies in Indonesia and India show that digital divides, limited technological infrastructure, and variations in institutional capacity across regions are major barriers to the effectiveness of digital public services. In Indonesia, the implementation of the Electronic-Based Government System (SPBE) and Online Single Submission (OSS) has positively contributed to improving administrative efficiency and ease of doing business [24]. However, challenges remain, such as coordination between central and regional governments, fragmented inter-agency systems, and disparities in human resource capacity [25], [26]. These findings indicate that the success of digital bureaucracy in developing countries requires contextual, gradual, and adaptive strategies to address structural and socio-economic conditions.

The case of India through the Digital India initiative and the implementation of the Aadhaar digital identity system illustrates the complex dynamics of digital bureaucracy in developing countries [27], [28]. On one hand, Aadhaar has been proven to improve the efficiency of social service delivery, reduce budget leakage, and expedite beneficiary verification through a centralized digital identification system. On the other hand, previous

studies have noted that limited digital literacy, low internet access, and uneven technological infrastructure in rural and remote areas hinder the equitable distribution of benefits from bureaucratic digitalization [29], [30]. Furthermore, challenges related to data privacy and public trust also emerge as critical issues in the implementation of nationwide digital identity systems [31]. These findings confirm that public administration transformation through digital bureaucracy cannot

Overall, the discussion shows that digital bureaucracy plays a strategic role in the transformation of public administration in the digital government era. Integrating perspectives from New Public Management, New Public Service, and good governance principles with empirical findings from various international and national case studies demonstrates that bureaucratic digitalization has significant potential to improve efficiency, transparency, accountability, and the quality of public services. Nevertheless, the success of digital bureaucracy implementation depends greatly on institutional readiness, human resource capacity, system integration, and adaptive, inclusive policy consistency. Therefore, digital bureaucracy should not be understood merely as administrative technology adoption, but as a comprehensive, long-term, and sustainable bureaucratic transformation process aimed at strengthening modern governance.

Figure 1. Digital Bureaucracy in Public Administration

Aspect	Key Findings	Example / Implementation
Digital bureaucracy & NPM	Utilizes IT to enhance efficiency, effectiveness, and public sector performance; digitalizes procedures, automates work processes, and standardizes services; reduces operational costs and accelerates service delivery.	Literature shows increased civil servant productivity and lower operational costs.
Digital bureaucracy & NPS	Digitalization expands public access, enhances transparency, citizen participation, public trust, and bureaucratic accountability.	Digital public service platforms such as integrated service portals and e-government applications.
System integration & institutional readiness	Integration across agencies, data interoperability, and alignment of IT policies are critical; fragmented systems lead to inefficient services.	Integrated data enables faster information exchange and data-driven decision-making.
Developed countries: Estonia, South Korea, Singapore	Success relies on consistent national policies, system integration, and a public service-oriented approach.	Estonia: X-Road (“once-only” principle); South Korea: Government 24; Singapore: Smart Nation.
Organizational culture & human resource capacity	Transformation requires collaborative, adaptive, and user-oriented work patterns; digital competencies, innovative organizational structures, and strong leadership are essential.	UK: Government Digital Service (GDS) fosters changes in civil servants’ work patterns.
Developing countries: Indonesia & India	Barriers include digital divide, limited infrastructure, varying institutional capacity, central-regional coordination, fragmented inter-agency systems, and uneven human resources.	Indonesia: SPBE and OSS improve administrative efficiency, but coordination and human resource capacity remain challenges.
Digital identity in India (Aadhaar)	Centralized system improves social service efficiency, reduces budget leakage, and speeds up verification; challenges include low digital literacy, limited internet access, privacy concerns, and public trust issues.	Aadhaar serves as a national digital identity system.
Overall conclusion	Digital bureaucracy has great potential to improve efficiency, transparency, accountability, and service quality; success depends on institutional readiness, human resources, system integration, and consistent adaptive policies.	Transformation is a long-term, comprehensive, and sustainable process, not merely technology adoption.

4. Conclusion

This study shows that digital bureaucracy plays a strategic role in transforming public administration in the digital government era. Based on the literature review and qualitative descriptive analysis, bureaucratic

digitalization has been proven to enhance administrative process efficiency, strengthen transparency, and improve public service quality. System integration, data interoperability, and the utilization of digital technology are key factors in the successful transformation of public administration. Additionally, the findings indicate that the success of digital bureaucracy implementation is strongly influenced by institutional readiness, human resource capacity, and consistent policy support. Case studies from developed countries, such as Estonia, South Korea, and Singapore, demonstrate that integrated services and user-oriented approaches can produce more responsive bureaucracies. Meanwhile, experiences in developing countries, including Indonesia and India, show that structural challenges and dig

Theoretically, this study enriches public administration research by reinforcing the relevance of New Public Management, New Public Service, and good governance principles in the context of digital bureaucracy. The findings show that bureaucratic digitalization relates not only to managerial efficiency but also to public service values, citizen participation, and accountability. Thus, digital bureaucracy can be positioned as a bridge between bureaucratic efficiency and public interest orientation. Practically, this study provides implications for policymakers and public administration practitioners to design integrated and sustainable digital transformation strategies. Governments need to ensure that the implementation of digital bureaucracy is supported by clear regulatory frameworks, strengthened bureaucratic capacity, and equitable digital infrastructure. Additionally, user-oriented services and inclusivity are essential for enhancing the effectiveness of digital bureaucracy.

Based on the study findings, it is recommended that governments strengthen the integration of public service systems across agencies and levels of government to avoid digital bureaucracy fragmentation. Developing human resource competencies through continuous training should also be a priority to optimize adaptation to digital technology. Moreover, governments should implement inclusive policies that consider communities with limited technology access. For future research, it is suggested to conduct empirical studies using quantitative or mixed-method approaches to objectively measure the impact of digital bureaucracy on bureaucratic performance and citizen satisfaction. Further research could also expand the focus to sectoral or regional comparative case studies to gain a deeper understanding of the success factors in digital public administration transformation.

This study has several limitations. First, it uses a literature review approach with secondary data, making the findings highly dependent on the quality and availability of analyzed literature. Second, the publication period limitation of 1990–2025 may restrict coverage of early digital bureaucracy developments prior to this period. Third, the study has not deeply explored the dynamics of digital bureaucracy implementation at organizational or sectoral levels through field data. The findings are primarily conceptual and descriptive. Nevertheless, these limitations do not diminish the relevance of this study as a conceptual foundation and initial reference for policy development and further research in digital public administration.

References

- [1] A. Sarjito, “from bureaucratic red tape to digital governance: evaluating public service transformation in indonesia,” *Decision: Jurnal Administrasi Publik*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 1–18, 2025.
- [2] A. Q. Wahyu Sulistya *et al.*, “A Case Study of Indonesian Government Digital Transformation: Improving Public Service Quality through E-government Implementation,” in *2019 5th International Conference on Science and Technology (ICST)*, Yogyakarta: IEEE, Jul. 2019, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/ICST47872.2019.9166234.
- [3] Y. Gong, J. Yang, and X. Shi, “Towards a comprehensive understanding of digital transformation in government: Analysis of flexibility and enterprise architecture,” *Gov Inf Q*, vol. 37, no. 3, p. 101487, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2020.101487.
- [4] B. Otjacques, P. Hitzelberger, and F. Feltz, “Interoperability of E-Government Information Systems: Issues of Identification and Data Sharing,” *Journal of Management Information Systems*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 29–51, May 2007, doi: 10.2753/MIS0742-1222230403.
- [5] S. Ganapati and C. G. Reddick, “Prospects and challenges of sharing economy for the public sector,” *Gov Inf Q*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 77–87, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2018.01.001.
- [6] E.-A. Park and K. Jayakar, “Implementation of BTOP funding for public computing centers: Goal consensus and project performance,” *Gov Inf Q*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 514–524, Oct. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2013.07.002.
- [7] A. Edwards, “ICT strategies of democratic intermediaries: A view on the political system in the digital age,” *Information Polity*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 163–176, Oct. 2006, doi: 10.3233/IP-2006-0093.
- [8] K. Quigley, C. Burns, and K. Stallard, “‘Cyber Gurus’: A rhetorical analysis of the language of cybersecurity specialists and the implications for security policy and critical infrastructure protection,” *Gov Inf Q*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 108–117, Apr. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2015.02.001.

- [9] C. Hood, "A Public Management for Aall Seasons?," *Public Adm*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 3–19, Mar. 1991, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9299.1991.tb00779.x.
- [10] R. B. Denhardt and J. V. Denhardt, "The New Public Service: Serving Rather than Steering," *Public Adm Rev*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 549–559, Nov. 2000, doi: 10.1111/0033-3352.00117.
- [11] C.-H. Ku and G. Leroy, "A decision support system: Automated crime report analysis and classification for e-government," *Gov Inf Q*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 534–544, Oct. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2014.08.003.
- [12] I. M. Coyle, "A Local Government Practitioner's View of the Sustainability of Defined-Benefit Pension Plans," *Public Adm Rev*, vol. 72, no. 6, pp. 786–787, Nov. 2012, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6210.2012.02663.x.
- [13] M. H. Chustz and J. S. Larson, "Implementing Change on the Front Lines: A Management Case Study of West Feliciana Parish Hospital," *Public Adm Rev*, vol. 66, no. 5, pp. 725–729, Sep. 2006, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6210.2006.00637.x.
- [14] J. L. Perry and L. R. Wise, "The Motivational Bases of Public Service," *Public Adm Rev*, vol. 50, no. 3, p. 367, May 1990, doi: 10.2307/976618.
- [15] Dwibin Kannapadang, S. Munawaroh, and S. A. Purwanto, "Optimizing E-Government for Enhanced Transparency and Accountability in Local Governance," *Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Kesatuan*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 4203–4212, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.37641/jimkes.v13i5.3962.
- [16] C. LeRouge, A. R. Hevner, and R. W. Collins, "It's more than just use: An exploration of telemedicine use quality," *Decis Support Syst*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 1287–1304, Aug. 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.dss.2006.02.007.
- [17] J. Lee and H. Lee, "Developing and validating a citizen-centric typology for smart city services," *Gov Inf Q*, vol. 31, pp. S93–S105, Jun. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2014.01.010.
- [18] Y. Pamungkas, A. B. Santoso, B. Ashari, D. I. Sensesuse, M. Mishbah, and R. Meiyanti, "Evaluation of Interoperability Maturity Level: Case Study Indonesian Directorate General of Tax," *Procedia Comput Sci*, vol. 157, pp. 543–551, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2019.09.012.
- [19] A. Rahman Toyi and E. Zachra Putri Hamidun, "Establishing Legal Certainty in the Digital Era: Challenges and Solutions," *Estudiante Law Journal*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 444–460, May 2025, doi: 10.33756/eslaj.v7i2.31630.
- [20] T. Kalvet, "Innovation: a factor explaining e-government success in Estonia," *Electronic Government, an International Journal*, vol. 9, no. 2, p. 142, 2012, doi: 10.1504/EG.2012.046266.
- [21] OECD, "Digital Government Review of Korea," OECD Digital Government Studies. Accessed: Dec. 15, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/digital-government-review-of-korea_9defc197-en/full-report/delivering-human-centred-and-proactive-public-services_41783522.html
- [22] B. Welby and E Tan, "Designing and delivering public services in the digital age," Paris, Mar. 2022. doi: 10.1787/e056ef99-en.
- [23] Aris Toening Winarni and Dony Bundianto, "Digitalization of Bureaucracy and Good Governance," *International Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 55–68, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.62951/ijsw.v1i2.478.
- [24] Helen Priyanti, Risna Dewi, Ti Aisyah, and Muhammad Hasyem, "Implementasi E-Government Berbasis Online Single Submission (OSS) Dalam Upaya Meningkatkan Kualitas Layanan Publik Digital," *Jurnal Pemerintahan dan Politik*, vol. 3, no. 25, pp. 579–593, 2025.
- [25] V. Rahma Aulia *et al.*, "Mapping SPBE Challenges in Indonesia: Systematic Review by Architecture Domain," *Jurnal Komputer Teknologi Informasi Sistem Informasi (JUKTISI)*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 174–183, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.62712/juktisi.v4i1.368.
- [26] B. D. Kencono, H. H. Putri, and T. W. Handoko, "Transformasi Pemerintahan Digital: Tantangan dalam Perkembangan Sistem Pemerintahan Berbasis Elektronik (SPBE) di Indonesia," *JIIIP - Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Pendidikan*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 1498–1506, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.54371/jiip.v7i2.3519.
- [27] U. B. Mir, A. K. Kar, Y. K. Dwivedi, M. P. Gupta, and R. S. Sharma, "Realizing digital identity in government: Prioritizing design and implementation objectives for Aadhaar in India," *Gov Inf Q*, vol. 37, no. 2, p. 101442, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2019.101442.
- [28] P. Rajendran, "the impact of digital governance reforms on public service delivery in india: a case study of aadhaar," *AKSELERASI: Jurnal Ilmiah Nasional*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 38–44, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.54783/jin.v6i2.1058.
- [29] Alexander Kennedy, William Hartato Surya, and Franciscus Xaverius Wartoyo, "Tantangan dan Solusi Penerapan E-Government di Indonesia," *Jurnal Terapan Pemerintahan Minangkabau*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 134–147, 2024.

- [30] S. Sindakis and G. Showkat, "The digital revolution in India: bridging the gap in rural technology adoption," *J Innov Entrep*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 29, May 2024, doi: 10.1186/s13731-024-00380-w.
- [31] Wikipedia, "Aadhaar," en.wikipedia.org.